

Letters to the Editor ...

Helping to Change the World

Dear Duncan: Heartiest congratulations for the splendid achievement of 100 issues. Well done. And may you continue to grow vigorously. You certainly fill a much needed niche in the information market, and provide a forum for concerned folk to express their views and share info. Please continue as you are doing.

Journalist Melanie Phillips of the UK's *Daily Mail* has again highlighted the problems with the MMR vaccine, and I have pointed her in the direction of your 100th issue for further information (m.phillips@dailymail.co.uk).

Your articles on vaccine and heavy metal problems are such a source of further research information, as are so many of your "broad-spectrum" articles.

Thank you very much for being a source point to help change the world for better.

Sincerely,
Graeme L.

9/11: An Inside Job?

Hi Ed and team at NEXUS: I have recently been perusing the many websites regarding 9/11. There is some truly startling evidence against the established rubbish we were meant to swallow.

With so many in the so-called 9/11 movement, who are we to believe? I have a gut feeling on the subject, but when is the alternative info simply a pre-determined plant to further muddy the waters?

It was not Muslim terrorists. It was an inside job, I believe. Military aircraft crashed into the WTC and a missile or small drone aircraft into the Pentagon.

What happened to the poor people on the legitimate flights?

The whole thing makes me sick that it could have occurred right in front of our eyes and most of us swallowed the stories, even though our gut feelings told us otherwise.

If they could orchestrate this,

just how many other solid-as-a-rock truths out there were psy-op jobs?

Keep up the great work.

Regards,

Mark L., Sydney, Australia

Selenium against Cancer

I picked up a copy of your latest issue and noticed you had a short article about a farmer who used selenium to treat his bowel cancer [Global News, 13/01]. I was glad to see this article.

The evidence that selenium is an effective preventative agent against cancer is overwhelming. Yet the mass media do not report it. In the rare cases when they do, they stress the "toxicity" of selenium.

You should do a story on the relationship between selenium and cancer. You should investigate why this relationship has been ignored and/or covered up by the doctors and drug companies. You should also investigate the myth of selenium "toxicity". (It is toxic in very high doses, but the official "recommended" safe dosages are way too low.)

This information needs to get out. It could save countless lives (as your article in the current issue on scurvy and heart disease will do).

Best,

Kevin S., Birmingham, Alabama, USA

Meat Tainted by Toxic Gas

Dear Duncan: For a couple of years now, a friend of mine has been complaining that "they are doing something to the meat" that is ruining it. His main evidence has been that he can't even get it to rot enough to use for "stink bait" to catch catfish.

So when an article briefly appeared on one of those sign-in web pages, it really caught my attention. The article, "FDA Urged to Ban Carbon-Monoxide-Treated Meat" (<http://www.washingtonpost.com>), discusses the treatment of meat with carbon

monoxide to fix the red colour. It briefly talks about the binding of carbon monoxide (CO) to myoglobin. Carbon monoxide is, of course, a very toxic substance and may be indicated as a cause of Parkinson's and other diseases if inhaled (or death, in the most severe case).

Maybe one of your contributors could enlighten us about what changes might occur in our food when treated with CO. I would think that some of the most useful nutrients might be destroyed or radically altered with such a process (carnosine, one of those essential amino acids which we get from meat in our diet, might be altered, for example).

I also wonder, since CO affects the brain itself on direct exposure, if maybe there might be some remote link to treated meat with some of the unexplained cases of "mad cow disease" which are showing up in places like southern Idaho.

Loren L., Oregon, USA

[Dear Loren: Thanks for bringing this issue to our attention; we'll look further into it. We've reprinted an item on treated meat in Global News this edition. Ed.]

Disease Management System

Dear Duncan: As always, I have read the latest NEXUS Magazine from front to back. Thank you for this very important alternative information.

The article about dental diseases [13/02] should make us all think carefully about food quality issues. Some foods are not only impacting on our teeth but also on our immune systems. Sick teeth are a symptom of a sick immune system.

Replace the terms caries, plaque, gum disease and cavities with asthma, arthritis, Crohn's disease, heart disease, diabetes, depression and all the other "non-curable but manageable diseases" (according to our "health system", or should we call it "disease management system") and emphasise healthy food, and

we have most of the answers as to how to cure or prevent them right in front of us.

Nobody in this "health system" appears seriously interested in curing; the "system" is only interested in selling its services and products, i.e., "managing" the diseases and making big money. Someone has set it up this way.

In the process, serious harm is done to the immune system and, as a result, to the patients—a price the patients have to pay, of course...and they're not to ask any questions.

Even if the solutions as to how to cure these diseases are so obvious and logical, they are deliberately ignored. You get no money from a healthy person.

Old Hippocrates, the celebrated physician of ancient Greece, said as early as 370 BC, "Let food be your medicine and let medicine be your food". Why have our modern physicians, who all swear their oath to Hippocrates, forgotten about this?

Best regards, and keep up your good work,

Peter T., Australia

Fowl Play in Bird Flu Crisis?

Dear Duncan: I've not seen your post on a very important article that was published at Grain.org, which has had very little media coverage (which itself is very interesting). It's called "Fowl Play: the poultry industry's central role in the bird flu crisis" (*Grain*, 1 February 2006).

Backyard or free-range poultry are not fuelling the current wave of bird flu outbreaks stalking large parts of the world. The deadly H5N1 strain of bird flu is essentially a problem of industrial poultry practices. Its epicentre is the factory farms of China and Southeast Asia and—while wild birds can carry the disease, at least for short distances—its main vector is the highly self-regulated transnational poultry industry, which sends the products and waste of its farms around the world through a multitude of channels.

... more Letters to the Editor

NB: Please keep letters to approx. 150 to 250 words in length. Ed.

Yet small poultry farmers and the poultry biodiversity and local food security that they sustain are suffering badly from the fallout. To make matters worse, governments and international agencies, following mistaken assumptions about how the disease spreads and amplifies, are pursuing measures to force poultry indoors and further industrialise the poultry sector. In practice, this means the end of the small-scale poultry farming that provides food and livelihoods to hundreds of millions of families across the world.

This paper presents a fresh perspective on the bird flu story that challenges current assumptions and puts the focus back where it should be: on the transnational poultry industry. You can read the paper at <http://www.grain.org/briefings/?id=194>.

Regards,

Brian S., Canberra, Australia

Failure of Vaccinations

Dear Duncan: Two letters and an article by Jon Rappoport in the recent issue (vol. 13, no. 2) highlight the extreme dangers of vaccination. For decades, there has been no question that the cult of vaccination has been a worldwide disaster and the only reason it is still being promoted is because of the silence of the medical lambs!

England introduced compulsory smallpox vaccination in 1852. From 1857 to 1859, it led to a smallpox epidemic that killed 14,244 people. From 1863 to 1865, a second epidemic claimed 20,059 lives. In 1872, the vaccine killed 44,840 people.

In Germany, the most vaccinated country in the world at that time, there were more deaths in proportion to the population, than in the UK. Over one million people got smallpox, of which 120,000 died. From all over the world there were reports of huge numbers of people dying from smallpox following vaccination.

Jenner's smallpox vaccine was included during WWI, when vac-

ination was made compulsory for all German, French, British and American troops. As respective army hospital records reveal, the consequences were so disastrous that it led to the saying, "More soldiers died from vaccine shots than from enemy shots". Near the close of the war, a new paratyphoid jab killed so many soldiers from a strange new disease: it was called Spanish flu!

After the war was over, newspapers around the world alleged that the returning soldiers were riddled with disease and that everybody, men, women and children, must avail themselves of the "life-saving" vaccinations immediately—which was precisely what hysterical populations did, with the result that up to 100 million people died.

Edward Jenner's discovery was not a medical breakthrough at all. He simply enabled Britain and the USA to acquire their first weapon of mass destruction: vaccination.

Patrick C., Waterford, Ireland

Tetracyclines and Calcification

Dear Sir: I have read with interest over the past nine years the studies using tetracyclines to assist in the reversal or reduction of calcification in many parts of the human and animal body.

I have been taking doxycycline for the past seven years. I received copies of my first echocardiograph at age 22, and my last just recently to take to a new cardiologist. I've found out I've been suffering recurrent episodes of rheumatic fever, and this is the first time I've seen any of my reports. Rheumatic fever originally gave me the valve damage at age seven. My health for the past 11 years had been misdiagnosed as arthritis until recent heart failure and clinical evidence of chorea.

What struck me as extremely interesting is the difference—in a positive way—in the two reports I've received, especially considering I've suffered six attacks of rheumatic fever in the past 10 years and the last one I'm still

recovering from.

Is there was anyone who I may be able to discuss this with who may be studying more implicitly the changes to heart valves with the use of tetracyclines? Thanks very much for your time.

Karen Birch, Australia,
karenbirch@yahoo.com.au

Hubble Telescope Trouble

Sir: I recently watched a *National Geographic* television show, featuring conspiracy theories about the NASA Moon landings, which mentioned your magazine. I thought you may be interested in learning some of the facts behind the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) fraud and the fraudulent "investigation" and settlement which followed.

I worked on the HST development project in 1984–1985 wherein I was tasked with testing the FGS, or fine (optical) guidance systems which track the telescope's attitude in relation to the positions of known stars. I was also tasked with testing a quadrant of the telescope's primary mirror (the *big* one). It was during this testing that I discovered the now infamous "spherical anomaly" which caused images to be produced blurry, necessitating the repair flight to install the COSTAR unit. I reported the flaw to my unit supervisor (Lou Montagnino) who told me, "Keep this under your hat". He also promised they'd fix it prior to shipping it out to Lockheed.

A few months later, while serving with my state's military reserve unit (Air National Guard, Air Force), the completed components were being shipped out through the airfield on our base. I recognised some of the people and asked if they'd fixed the mirror flaw. This question was met with a gruff answer, "There's nothing wrong with that mirror!" Assuming they merely wished to avoid embarrassment, I didn't pursue the matter further.

Only upon watching the results on television after its launch did I realise that they'd knowingly

committed a fraud and I began my own investigation.

To make a long story short: NASA and US Justice Department personnel knowingly gave false testimony (under oath) to the US Congress (as documented in the Congressional Record of November 16th, 1993) and cut a deal with the contractor of record (Hughes Division of GM), allowing Hughes to avoid a fine of nearly three-quarters of a billion US dollars.

I contacted both of my state's senators (through their staff) and sent them details of the initial fraud (as it occurred prior to shipping) *and* to (then) president-elect Bill Clinton. This letter was sent via registered mail through the US Postal Service and thus its delivery was officially documented in USPS records. I included other issues in that letter to Mr Clinton—ending with an admonition that the USA was rapidly falling to the same fate as the Roman Empire. In his 1994 State of the Union address (before both houses of Congress), Clinton referred to that passage—denying its veracity.

In 1996 I sent a lengthy legal brief to the US Justice Department's Criminal Investigations division, documenting the initial fraud perpetrated by the contractor *and* the subsequent fraudulent investigation perpetrated by NASA.

Subsequent phone conversations with staff of members of Congress sitting on the committee hearing NASA's testimony revealed they didn't wish to pursue any further investigation into the fraud(s) (due to "contributions" from the leading contractors Lockheed and Hughes?), claiming "Don't cry over spilt milk".

Anyone with interest in this matter, please respond via email to discuss it further.

Regards,

Larry T. Pines, Middletown, New York, USA, email lp115lp@yahoo.com